

Psicología Educativa



https://journals.copmadrid.org/psed

# Temporal Stability of Grit and School Performance in Adolescents: A Longitudinal Perspective

Álvaro Postigo<sup>a</sup>, Marcelino Cuesta<sup>a</sup>, Rubén Fernández-Alonso<sup>a</sup>, Eduardo García-Cueto<sup>a</sup>, and José Muñiz<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>University of Oviedo, Spain; <sup>b</sup>Nebrija University, Spain

# ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 1 March 2020 Accepted 15 June 2020 Available online 26 October 2020

Keywords: Academic grit School performance Longitudinal Adolescents

Palabras clave: Tenacidad académica Rendimiento académico Longitudinal Adolescentes Grit is a construct that is related to perseverance and passion for achieving set goals. Its relationship to school performance has been widely researched although the results are not conclusive. The aim of this study was to examine the temporal stability of grit and its relationship to adolescents' school performance. A sample of 5,371 students were evaluated at two time points, four years apart. At first evaluation, mean age was 9.9 years old (SD = 0.41), at the second it was 13.87 (SD = 0.82). A longitudinal design was used, and the data were analysed using analysis of variance, factor analysis, and structural equations models. Adolescents' academic grit and school achievement fall between ages of 10 and 14. Adolescents with higher levels of grit had higher academic achievement. The two constructs are correlated, which is why interventions aimed at improving academic grit could be important in improving school performance.

# La estabilidad temporal de la tenacidad y el rendimiento escolar en adolescentes: una perspectiva longitudinal

# RESUMEN

La tenacidad es un constructo relacionado con la perseverancia y la pasión por conseguir los objetivos propuestos. Su relación con el rendimiento académico ha sido ampliamente investigada, si bien los resultados no son concluyentes. El objetivo del presente trabajo es analizar la estabilidad temporal de la tenacidad y su relación con el rendimiento académico de los adolescentes. Se utilizó una muestra de 5,371 estudiantes, que fueron evaluados en dos momentos temporales separados por un periodo de cuatro años. En la primera evaluación la media de edad fue de 9.9 años (DT = 0.41) y en la segunda, de 13.87 (DT = 0.82). Se utilizó un diseño longitudinal, analizando los datos mediante análisis de varianza, análisis factorial y modelos de ecuaciones estructurales. La tenacidad y rendimiento académico de los adolescentes descienden entre los 10 y los 14 años. Los que tenían mayor nivel de tenacidad académica mostraron mejores resultados escolares. Ambos constructos están correlacionados, por lo que el uso de programas de intervención para mejorar la tenacidad académica podría ser clave para mejorar su rendimiento académico.

The development of students' socio-affective and social skills is part of the general objectives of national curricula (Eurydice, 2018). These are extremely important aspects in the educational context as they are part of students' overall development (Abrahams et al., 2019). In line with these curricular aims, large-scale evaluation programs have begun to include non-cognitive aspects. For example PISA 2015 included collaborative problem solving (OECD, 2017), and in 2018 it included global competence, conceptualized as the capacity to understand others' perspectives and points of view (OECD, 2018, 2019). Educational evaluations with large samples in Latin America have explored socioeconomic factors associated with performance (Murillo & Hernández-Castilla, 2011). In the United States, The National Assessment of Educational Progress includes an adaptation of the Grit Scale (Duckworth et al., 2007). Grit is one of the noncognitive variables that has appeared most often in the literature over the last ten years. It consists of a positive trait based on an individual's perseverance, combined with the passion for achieving a long-term objective (Duckworth et al., 2007). As Duckworth (2016) put it, being gritty is holding on tightly to a meaningful objective, being gritty is falling seven times but getting up eight.

Despite the increase in research into grit in the last ten years, results have not been conclusive. One of the most recent debates about grit is whether it should be considered as a general or specific domain (Cormier et al., 2019). Most studies have focused on grit

Cite this article as: Postigo, A., Cuesta, M., Fernández-Alonso, R., García-Cueto, E., & Muñiz, J. (2021). Temporal stability of grit and school performance in adolescents: A longitudinal perspective. *Psicología Educativa*, 27(1), 77-84. https://doi.org/10.5093/psed2021a4

Funding: This research has been funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (PSI2017-85724-P), and by a predoctoral grant from the Principality of Asturias (BP17-78). Correspondence: postigoalvaro@uniovi.es (Á. Postigo).

ISSN: 1135-755X/© 2021 Colegio Oficial de la Psicología de Madrid. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

as a general, transversal domain (e.g., Duckworth et al., 2007), but recent research has shown that when grit is considered as a specific academic domain, rather than a general domain, it demonstrates better predictive validity in a school context (Clark & Malecki, 2019; Schmidt et al., 2017). Actually, people tend to choose a single domain on which to spend their time and efforts (Salmela-Aro, 2009). All of this raises questions about whether grit has been measured equally in all contexts and reinforces the need to design and validate measuring instruments that will provide a rigorous evaluation of grit as a specific academic domain. Furthermore, the dimensionality of the construct remains open (Duckworth & Quinn, 2009). The short version of the Grit Scale (Duckworth et al., 2007) has been questioned in some studies. This well-known and widely-used scale was validated with 2 first-order factors (perseverance of effort and consistency of interests) and 1 second-order factor (grit) (Duckworth & Quinn, 2009), though, recent studies have proposed either a unidimensional structure (Areepattamannil & Khine, 2017; González et al., 2019) or a structure with two distinct factors (Abuhassán & Bates, 2017; Datu et al., 2016; Fosnacht et al., 2019; Wolters & Hussain, 2015). Finally, some authors have found low reliability for the Grit-S scale (Clark & Malecki, 2019). In Spain, for example, Arco-Tirado et al. (2018) validated the Grit-S scale with a sample of university students and found reliability below .50 for the perseverance of effort dimension. These disparate results have stimulated new studies into dimensionality, and along with that, into other measuring instruments (Clark & Malecki, 2019; Datu et al., 2018; Sturman & Zappala-Piemme, 2017).

There has been a proliferation of research attempting to examine the relationship between grit and school performance. In a metaanalysis, Credé et al. (2017) showed that the relationship between grit and school performance was weak when it was modulated by other variables that grit is strongly related to, such as conscientiousness (Fite et al., 2017; Ivcevic & Brackett, 2014; Schmidt et al., 2018), selfefficacy (Usher et al., 2019), and self-control (Muenks et al., 2017). Nonetheless, many studies have found higher levels of grit to be related to better academic performance in university students (Akos & Kretchman, 2017; Bowman et al., 2015; Eskreis-Winkler et al., 2014; Fong & King, 2019; Hwang et al., 2018; Muenks, et al., 2017; Muenks et al., 2018), high school students (Duckworth & Quinn, 2009; Muenks et al., 2017, 2018; Steinmayr et al., 2018), and middle school students (Clark & Malecki, 2019; Dumfart & Neubauer, 2016; Hagger & Hamilton, 2018; Malanchini et al., 2018; Steinmayr et al., 2018; Tucker-Drob et al., 2016), and that grit plays a mediating role in the performance of students with low cognitive ability (Light & Nencka, 2019). Nonetheless, most of the work has been carried out with students in the USA, and few studies have been carried out in Europe (Dumfart & Neubauer, 2016; Steinmayr et al., 2018). Although the terms "academic performance", "school performance", and "academic success" cover different types of evaluations in the literature, the two most common are grade point average (GPA) and standardized tests. GPA, in contrast to standardized tests, is a grade awarded by the teacher, so it can be affected by other aspects, such as study habits, effort, or interest (Marsh et al., 2005). Most studies looking at grit and school performance have been based on a GPA, with very few using standardized tests (Duckworth & Quinn, 2009; Eskreis-Winkler et al., 2014; Malanchini et al., 2018; Tucker-Drob et al., 2016; Usher et al., 2019).

When looking at gender, some studies have shown that girls exhibited higher levels of grit than boys, at ages ranging from 7 to 18 years old (Eskreis-Winkler et al., 2014; Oriol et al., 2017), whereas others, such as Credé et al. (2017), have not found differences. In terms of progression over time, grit seems to be consistent over time and context (Duckworth et al., 2007), and some studies have even shown it increasing with age (Cosgrove et al., 2016; Peña & Duckworth, 2018). However, a recent longitudinal study with a cohort of middle school students found that grit scores decreased over a two year period (West et al., 2016). To date, very few studies have analysed the influence of grit on school performance longitudinally. In primary school students, Jiang et al. (2019) found that grit at the first time-point predicted subsequent school performance, controlling for previous achievement. Tang et al. (2019) found that grit at 13 or 14 years old (eighth grade) was related to academic success at 14 or 15 years old (ninth grade), after controlling for variables such as conscientiousness, prior academic achievement, and socioeconomic level.

It seems clear that more longitudinal studies are needed to clarify the role of grit in school performance, and this is precisely the context of our study. We propose four specific objectives: the first is to rigorously analyse the psychometric properties of an academic grit scale, which will serve as the basis for subsequent examinations of the relationships between grit and other variables; the second objective is to attempt to clarify the progression of both academic grit and school performance over time in an adolescent population aged between 10 and 14 years old; the third is to examine the influence of the gender variable in the study of academic grit and on school grades; and the fourth and final objective is to analyse in detail the relationships between academic grit and school performance during a four-year period of adolescence.

#### Method

# Participants

The sample was made up of a total of 5,371 students attending schools in the Principality of Asturias, a region in northern Spain. The students were evaluated at two time-points separated by four years. The first evaluation was when students were in fourth year of primary school, with a mean age of 9.9 years (SD = 0.41). The second evaluation was when they were in second year of secondary school, with a mean age of 13.87 (SD = 0.82). The majority of students (92.7%) were of Spanish origin, and 49.6% were girls.

# Procedure

Data collection was carried out within the framework of the Diagnostic Evaluation of the Education System program of the Asturian Government Department of Education and Culture. It is a "large-scale group score assessment", in which cognitive tests and student context questionnaires are applied to participating students. By law, the test is controlled by the Asturian Department of Education and Culture and is performed in accordance with the manual of Instructions for Carrying out the Diagnostic Evaluation (Government of the Principality of Asturias, 2018). The school principals manage and coordinate application within their schools, and the school inspectorate monitors quality.

The student context questionnaire, which included items making up the Academic Grit Scale, was applied in the same conditions at the two time-points. School grades were obtained from the Department of Education and Culture record system.

## Instruments

Academic grit scale. Academic grit was measured using a scale comprising 5 items, each with a 4-category Likert-type response scale: *never or almost never, sometimes, often,* and *always or almost always.* The following items made up the Academic Grit Scale: 1) "I like studying", 2) "I work hard to get good grades", 3) "In class I make sure I pay attention to the teachers' explanations", 4) "I complete my tasks even if they are very hard", and 5) "My assignments are neat and tidy". The psychometric properties of the scale were analysed, and are presented in the Results section.

	4 <sup>th</sup> year of Primary School (10 years)					2 <sup>nd</sup> year of Secondary School (14 years)						
	Mean	SD	Sk	Κ	DI	а	Mean	SD	Sk	К	DI	a
I like studying	1.94	0.954	-0.401	-0.938	.399	1.76	0.99	0.857	0.618	-0.209	.382	1.87
I work hard to get good grades	2.63	0.662	-1.754	2.323	.499	2.54	2.16	0.861	-0.605	-0.669	.536	2.56
In class I make sure I pay attention to the teachers' explanations	2.52	0.687	-1.244	0.736	.518	2.60	2.07	0.821	-0.417	-0.710	.534	2.53
I complete my tasks even if they are very hard	2.55	0.715	-1.443	1.129	.471	2.42	2.24	0.859	-0.801	-0.383	.512	2.39
My assignments are neat and tidy	2.24	0.830	-0.748	-0.411	.473	2.52	2.24	0.906	-0.875	-0.341	.402	1.82

#### Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Academic Grit Scale

*Note. SD* = standard deviation; Sk = skewness; K = kurtosis; DI = discrimination index; a = discrimination index from Item Response Theory.

**School performance.** School performance was measured using the mean grade in the corresponding school year (grade point average, GPA) in Spanish Language, Maths, and English (foreign language) at four time-points (fourth and sixth year of primary school, and second and fourth year of secondary school). The grade ranges from 0 to 10 in the three subjects, where 0 is very poor performance and 10 is outstanding performance.

# **Data Analysis**

We analysed the psychometric properties of the Academic Grit Scale. Each of the properties was measured at the two timepoints, looking for evidence of stability. We analysed the item-test correlations (discrimination index) of each item, with correlations above .20 being considered suitable (Muñiz et al., 2005; Muñiz & Fonseca-Pedrero, 2019). We performed an exploratory factor analysis on the polychoric correlation matrix to explore the dimensionality of the scale. We used KMO and Bartlett's statistic to look at the suitability of the data for factor analysis. As an extraction method we used unweighted least squares (ULS; Flora et al., 2012). The number of factors to extract was determined via optimal implementation of parallel analysis (Timmerman & Lorenzo-Seva, 2011), using 1,000 resampling. We used the goodness of fit index (GFI) and the root mean square residual (RMSR) as indices of fit as they are the most suitable, and independent of the method of estimation (Calderón et al., 2019; Ferrando & Lorenzo-Seva, 2017); fit is considered adequate when GFI > .90 and RMSR < .08 (Kline, 2011). We also considered the percentage of variance explained. Reliability was examined via Cronbach's alpha and McDonald's omega coefficients (McDonald, 1999). The correlation between scores at two time-points was calculated to show temporal stability of scores. Using Samejima's graduated model (Samejima, 1969) within the framework of item response theory, we calculated the "a" parameter of item discrimination, which is adequate for values above 0.64 and very high when above 1.7 (Baker, 1985). We assessed measurement invariance based on sex at two time-points, calculating configural, and metric invariance via multigroup confirmatory factor analysis (MG-CFA). Because we are dealing with nested models, to accept measurement invariance we allowed a change in CFI of less than -.01 ( $\Delta$ CFI < -.01) and a change in RMSEA

of less than .015 ( $\Delta$ RMSEA < .015) (Chen, 2007). We used a repeated measures ANOVA in order to look at the progression of academic grit and school performance over time. Following that, we performed a mixed ANOVA with one within-factor (time) and one between-factor (sex) to examine the differences between boys and girls in these variables. We used *F* from Pillai's trace as a test statistic (Meyers et al., 2016). Principal effects were examined in those situations where, despite the interaction being statistically significant, effect size was null. Effect size was analysed using Cohen's *d*, also known as typified difference; *d*'s interpretation is like a standard deviation in a normal distribution (mean = 0, standard deviation = 1) (Cohen, 1988; Pardo & San Martin, 2010).

We calculated Pearson correlations between academic grit and school performance, both transversally and longitudinally. Following that, we analysed a complete structural equations model. We used robust maximum likelihood (RML) as the method of estimation as it is best suited to the type of data in this study (variables do not meet the assumption of multivariate normality and homoscedasticity; given this, a robust procedure makes a better estimation of the parameters). The indices of fit used to examine model fit were CFI and RMSEA. The CFI should be  $\geq$  .95 and RMSEA < .06 (Hu & Bentler, 1999). The gender variable has not been included in the model because it goes beyond the study of differences and it does not add an explanation regarding the influence of academic grit on school performance over time.

Discrimination indices and descriptive statistics were calculated using SPSS 24 statistics package (IBM Corp, 2016). Exploratory factor analysis and McDonald's omega coefficient were calculated using Factor 10.5.03 (Lorenzo-Seva & Ferrando, 2013). Item response theory analyses were performed using IRTPro (Cai et al., 2011). CFAs and the structural equations model was created using MPlus8 (Muthén & Muthén, 2017).

# Results

# Psychometric Properties of the Academic Grit Scale

Descriptive statistics for items in the Academic Grit Scale are shown in Table 1. All of the items produced adequate indices of discrimination (Muñiz et al., 2005; Muñiz & Fonseca-Pedrero, 2019),

Table 2. Fit Statistics for Measurement Invariance of Academic Grit Scale Based on Sex at Two Ti	me-points
--	-----------

Time	Model		CFI	ΔCFI	RMSEA	RMSEA CI 90%	ΔRMSEA
	Boys		.994	_	.039	.027, .052	-
	Girls		.994	-	.039	.027, .052	-
T1		Configural Model	.995	-	.035	.026, .045	-
		Metric Model	.998	.003	.036	.030, .043	.001
T2	Boys		.993	-	.045	.032, .060	-
	Girls		.993	-	.045	.032, .060	-
		Configural Model	.991	002	.049	.039, .060	.004
		Metric Model	.999	.008	.050	.044, .057	.001

Note. T1 = time 1; T2 = time 2; CFI = comparative fit index; RMSEA = root mean square error of approximation; CI = confidence interval.

Table 3. Progression over	er Time of Acad	emic Grit and Schoo	l Performance	in Boys and Girls
---------------------------	-----------------	---------------------	---------------	-------------------

		Longitudinal Assessment			Interaction		Time		Sex		
		T1 M(SD)	$D) \qquad T2 M(SD) \qquad T3 M(SD) \qquad T4 M(SD)$		T4 $M(SD)$	F(p)	d	F(p)	d	F(p)	d
B	В	11.91 (2.49)	-	9.15 (3.03)	-	20.10(< 0.01)	0.1	2.276 EE ( < 0.01 )	1.62	250.97 (< 0.01)	0.4
Academic Gill	G	12.67 (2.19)	-	10.31 (2.72)	-	20.19 (< .001)	0.1	3,370.33 (< .001)	1.05	259.87 (< .001)	0.4
Cnanich	В	6.47 (2.29)	6.44 (2.27)	5.91 (1.81)	5.29 (1.98)	6.69(4.001)	0.1	1 421 20 ( < 0.01 )	1 00	120.07 ( < 0.01 )	0.2
Spanish	G	7.02 (2.21)	7.13 (2.06)	6.52 (1.87)	5.98 (2.10)	0.08 (< .001)	0.1	1,451.59 (< .001)	1.09	120.07 (< .001)	0.5
Matha	В	6.59 (2.29)	6.53 (2.31)	5.75 (1.95)	5.13 (2.00)	2720 ( 4 001 )	0.2	1 705 00 ( + 001)	2.07	1 42 ( 22 4)	
Maths G	G	6.61 (2.24)	6.75 (2.20)	6.11 (1.92)	5.48 (2.04)	27.29 (< .001)	0.2	1,705.86 (< .001)	2.07	1.42 (= .234)	-
English B G	В	6.25 (2.42)	6.27 (2.44)	6.03 (1.99)	5.56 (2.05)	7.02 ( 4.001)	0.1	702.02 ( 4.001 )	1 41	76.74 ( $4.001$ )	0.2
	G	6.78 (2.31)	6.93 (2.29)	6.63 (1.98)	6.15 (2.19)	/.83 (< .001)	0.1	/92.92 (< .001)	1.41	/6./4(<.001)	0.2

Note. B = boys; G = girls; T1 = 10 years old; T2 = 12 years old; T3 = 14 years old; T4 = 16 years old; M = mean; SD = standard deviation; F = Pillai's trace statistic; p = significance level; d = effect size.

between .399 and .536. The "a" parameter also yielded very high values for each of the items (Baker, 1985). In addition, it is worth highlighting the change in mean for item 1 ("I like studying"), between the first timepoint (1.94) and the second (0.99), which is explained mainly by reduced enjoyment of studying in the second year of secondary school. Bartlett statistic (p < .001) and KMO (> .78) at both time-points indicated the suitability of data for factor analysis. Despite the small number of items, the test exhibited good internal consistency at the first time point ( $\alpha$  = .80,  $\omega$  = .80) and was essentially unidimensional, based on the fact that the first factor explained 55.5% of the total variance, with excellent indices of fit (GFI = .999, RMSR = .022). At the second time-point, psychometric properties were similar. Internal consistency was adequate  $(\alpha = .77, \omega = .78)$  and the first factor explained 52.6% of variance, with very good indices of fit (GFI = .998, RMSR = .029). Factor loadings for all of the items were very high, between .523 and .748. According to this data, the scale should be considered essentially unidimensional (Calderón et al., 2019; Kline, 2011). Scores between two time-points showed temporal stability (r = .32495% CI [.299, .349]), bearing in mind that there are four years of difference between both moments. Regarding to measurement invariance based on sex at two-time points (Table 2), invariance was confirmed at two levels examined (configural and metric) (Chen, 2007).



**Figure 1.** Progression of Academic Grit between 4<sup>th</sup> Year Primary and 2<sup>nd</sup> Year Secondary School.

*Note.* In the Spanish education system these ages correspond to the following academic years: age  $10 = 4^{th}$  year primary school; age  $14 = 2^{nd}$  year secondary school

# Temporal Stability of the Academic Grit and School Performance

We analysed the progression of students' academic grit and school performance over time. Progression of academic grit is shown in Figure 1, where a clear fall in this variable was seen over time, differences being statistically significant (p < .001), with more than 1.5 standard deviations above between first and second moment (d = 1.63), so 94.8% of the scores of the first temporal moment are above those of the second temporal moment. Correlations between the grades in fourth-year primary and second-year secondary were .586 in Spanish, .577 in maths, and .616 in English. The study of the progression of school performance over time (Figure 2) showed that there were statistically significant differences over time (p < .001) for each of the different subjects making up school performance (Spanish, Maths, and English) with more than 1.3 standard deviations above over time (Spanish: d = 1.74; Maths: d = 1.91; English: d = 1.31), and maths showing the most notable change. Note that, in order to make the figure easier to interpret, the y axis in Figure 2 two goes from 5 to 8, as there was no value outside that range.



Figure 2. Progression of Grades in Spanish, Maths, and English between Ages 10 and 16 at Four Time-points.

*Note.* In the Spanish education system these ages correspond to the following academic years: age  $10 = 4^{th}$  year primary school; age  $12 = 6^{th}$  year primary school; age  $14 = 2^{nd}$  year secondary school; age  $16 = 4^{th}$  year secondary school.

Following that, we analysed the differences in the progression of academic grit and school performance between boys and girls (Table 3). Interaction for academic grit was statistically significant (p < .001), but the effect size was null. This may be due to the large sample size used in the present study. In terms of principal effects, the most notable differences were in the Time variable, with a fall in means between the two time-points in both boys and girls (d = 1.63), with girls exhibiting higher scores in academic grit at both time-points. In school performance, girls also had higher means than boys at both time-points for each subject. Although the interaction was statistically significant, the effect size in the three subjects was null, so we moved on to examine principal effects. The Time variable exhibited notable differences in the three subjects (d > 1).

# The Relationship between Academic Grit and School Performance

Transversal correlations between academic grit and school performance are given in Table 3, ranging from .295 to .396. Table 4 also shows longitudinal correlations between academic grit in fourth-year primary and school performance in second-year secondary, and between school performance in fourth-year primary and academic grit in second-year secondary, which range between .160 and .298.

Below, we present a structural equations model with four latent variables. The model gave the following indices of fit CFI = .96, RMSEA = .043, 90% CI [.041, -.045]. There were no high modification indices that could indicate areas of local imbalance. As Figure 3 shows, academic grit in fourth-year of primary school explains school performance in second-year of secondary school, modulated by academic grit of second-year secondary students and by previous school performance.

Table 4. Correlations between Academic Grit and School Performance in 4<sup>th</sup> Year of Primary School and 2<sup>nd</sup> year of Secondary School

School		Acade	mic Grit	
Performance		4 <sup>th</sup> Primary	2 <sup>nd</sup> Secondary	
renormance		School	School	
	Spanish	.396	.202	
4º Primary School	Maths	.368	.160	
	English	.365	.211	
	Spanish	.298	.340	
2º Secondary School	Maths	.268	.310	
	English	.274	.295	

Note. Numbers in bold are the longitudinal correlations.

# Discussion

The main objective of this study was to examine the strength of the relationship between grit and school performance, using a longitudinal approach with a sample of 5,371 adolescents at two time-points four years apart. This general objective was broken down into four more specific objectives. Firstly, the development of a psychometrically sound scale for evaluating academic grit. Secondly, to analyse the progression of academic grit and school performance over time. Thirdly, to study the influence of gender on the two variables. Lastly, modelling the relationship between academic grit and school performance using a structural equations model. Many studies have looked at the role of grit in school performance, but the study of grit as a specific domain (academic grit) has been much less common (Clark & Malecki, 2019; Cormier et al., 2019).

The Academic Grit Scale we used showed good psychometric properties (Hernández et al., 2016) and demonstrated an essentially unidimensional internal structure (González et al., 2019).

In terms of the progression of academic grit over time, we found that as adolescents got older, their levels of academic grit fell, which is in line with findings from previous studies (West et al., 2016). The fall in academic grit in the ages we studied (10-14 years) is outstanding, and it means that determination and pursuit of objectives is much worse in secondary school than in primary school. In terms of sex, and in line with results from other studies (Eskreis-Winkler et al., 2014; Oriol et al., 2017), girls scored higher in academic grit at both timepoints, which indicates that girls demonstrate more determination and perseverance in an educational context between the ages of 10 and 14, adapting to school better than boys. When it comes to the progression of school performance over the six years of schooling, it is notable that school performance is constant in primary school, and declines when students start secondary school for the three subjects we looked at: Spanish, Maths, and English. This may be because, on the one hand, as students advance in their schooling, they have more challenges to face (Eurydice, 2018; Government of the Principality of Asturias, 2018), and on the other, a decline in non-cognitive variables such as academic grit. It is also worth noting that, despite the fall in school performance in all students, the girls had better grades throughout the period of their schooling that we studied, in line with previous studies (Voyer & Voyer, 2014).

Finally, we examined the relationship that academic grit could have with school performance. Transversal correlations showed us some relationship between academic grit and the three subjects



Figure 3. Structural Equations Model for the Prediction of School Performance.

GPA = grade point average; T1 = 4th grade of Primary School (10 years); T2 = 2nd grade of Secondary School (14 years).

making up school performance. This also occurred longitudinally. This explanation is detailed in the proposed structural equations model (Figure 3). It shows that academic grit in fourth-year of primary school exhibits an indirect relationship with school performance in second-year of secondary school, which is modulated by academic grit in second-year of secondary school, and by previous performance. Students who make more effort in fourth-year of primary school tended to have higher GPAs four years later, as long as this effort was reflected in their performance in fourth-year of primary school and they continued to make efforts in second-year of secondary school. To put it another way, students who persevered over time (high levels of academic grit at both time-points) tended to have a better GPA in the second-year of secondary school. Similarly, students who persevered in the fourth year of primary school, whose efforts were reflected in their GPA at the time, tended to also have a better GPA in the second year of secondary school. In contrast, having high levels of grit in fourth-year of primary school by itself was not linked to a student having a good GPA in the future. Academic grit does influence school performance longitudinally, which indirectly confirms the results of previous research (Jiang et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2019). This relationship may be understood as positive experiences. such as getting good grades, making it easier to have better beliefs about oneself (Bandura, 1986), which improve the development of better determination and perseverance. Having appropriate beliefs about oneself in turn may help students reach long-standing learning goals, reflected in school results (Huang, 2011).

Thanks to its brevity, the Academic Grit Scale used in this study could be a very useful instrument in practice, which could help teachers and education authorities to evaluate this construct and intervene with the aim of improving school performance in adolescents (Clark & Malecki, 2019; Cormier et al., 2019). Early intervention in academic grit may help improve achievement in secondary education (Kirchgasler, 2018; Shechtman et al., 2013). The main idea is that encouraging children to value learning as a good thing in and of itself may help them to maintain their interest and efforts towards long term goals (Park et al., 2018), as well as their motivation (Fryer & Ainley, 2019). In this vein, it would be helpful for future research to include more non-cognitive variables longitudinally, such as conscientiousness (Dumfart & Neubauer, 2016; Schmidt, et al., 2018), self-efficacy (Rakoczy et al., 2019; Usher et al., 2019), and self-control (Muenks et al., 2017), as well as sociocontextual aspects such as academic resilience (García-Crespo et al., 2019). This would help us to better understand student behaviours underlying academic success.

The results of this study should be interpreted with some limitations in mind. Firstly, and generally, the potential biases of self-reports, including social desirability and acquiescence bias (Duckworth & Yeager, 2015; Suárez-Álvarez et al., 2018). In the future, to avoid these potential biases, the evaluation of noncognitive variables like grit could use other strategies such as ipsative tests, situational judgement tests, or "anchoring vignettes" (Abrahams et al., 2019; Kyllonen, 2015; Moreno et al., 2018). Another aspect to highlight is that it might be interesting for future research to consider students' cognitive capacity, given its significance in school performance (Chamorro-Premuzic & Furnham, 2004; Heckman & Kautz, 2012; Rosander & Backstrom, 2014). In this way, the extent of academic grit's mediating role may be studied, over time, based on the students' intellectual capabilities (Light & Nencka, 2019). Finally, it is important to reiterate that our results were obtained for a very specific age range (10-14), and so caution is advised when generalizing to other ages.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors of this article declare no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to express our utmost gratitude to the Government of the Principality of Asturias (Spain), without whose collaboration this research would not have been possible.

## References

- Abrahams, L., Pancorbo, G., Primi, R., Santos, D., Kyllonen, P., John, O. P., & De Fruyt, F. (2019). Social-emotional skill assessment in children and adolescents: Advances and challenges in personality, clinical, and educational contexts. *Psychological Assessment*, 31(4), 460-473. https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000591
- Abuhassàn, A., & Bates, T. C. (2015). Grit. Journal of Individual Differences, 36, 205-214. https://doi.org/10.1027/1614-0001/a000175
- Akos, P., & Kretchmar, J. (2017). Investigating grit at a non-cognitive predictor of college success. *The Review of Higher Education*, 40(2), 163-186. https://doi.org/10.1353/rhe.2017.0000
- Arco-Tirado, J. L., Fernández-Martín, F. D., & Hoyle, R. H. (2018). Development and validation of a Spanish version of the Grit-S scale. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00096
- Areepattamannil, S., & Khine, M. S. (2017). Evaluating the psychometric properties of the original Grit Scale using rasch analysis in an arab adolescent sample. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 36(8), 856-862. https://doi.org/10.1177/0734282917719976
- Baker, F. (1985). *The basics of item response theory.* Heineman.
- Bandura, A. (1986). The explanatory and predictive scope of self-efficacy theory. Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 4(3), 359-373. https:// doi.org/10.1521/jscp.1986.4.3.359
- Bowman, N. A., Hill, P. L., Denson, N., & Bronkema, R. (2015). Keep on truckin' or stay the course? Exploring grit dimensions as differential predictors of educational achievement, satisfaction, and intentions. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 6(6), 639-645. https:// doi.org/10.1177/1948550615574300
- Cai, L., & Thissen, D., & Du Toit, S. (2011). IRTPRO: Flexible, multidimensional, multiple categorical IRT modeling. Scientific Software International.
- Calderón, C., Navarro, D., Lorenzo-Seva, U., & Ferrando, P. (2019). Multidimensional or essentially unidimensional? A multi-faceted factor-analytic approach for assessing the dimensionality of tests and items. *Psicothema*, 31(4), 450-457. https://doi.org/10.7334/ psicothema2019.153
- Chamorro-Premuzic, T., & Furnham, A. (2004). A possible model for understanding the personality-intelligence interface. *British Journal of Psychology*, 95, 249-264. https://doi.org/10.1348/000712604773952458
- Chen, F. F. (2007). Sensivity of goodness of fit indexes to lack of measurement invariance. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 14(3), 464-504. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705510701301834
- Clark, K. N., & Malecki, C. K. (2019). Academic Grit Scale: Psychometric properties and associations with achievement and life satisfaction. *Journal of School Psychology*, 72, 49-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jsp.2018.12.001
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. Erlbaum.
- Cormier, D. L., Dunn, J. G. H., & Dunn, J. C. (2019). Examining the domain specificity of grit. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 139, 349-354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2018.11.026
- Cosgrove, J. M., Brown, K. P., Beddoes, Z. E., Bartholomew, J. B., & Castelli, D. M. (2016). Physical education and student grit. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 87(S2), A64.
- Credé, M., Tynan, M. C., & Harms, P. D. (2017). Much ado about grit: A metaanalytic synthesis of the grit literature. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 113(3), 492-511. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000102
- Datu, J. A. D., Valdez, J. P. M., & King, R. B. (2016). Perseverance counts but consistency does not! Validating the short grit scale in a collectivist setting. *Current Psychology*, 35(1), 121-130. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12144-015-9374-2
- Datu, J. A. D., Yuen, M., & Chen, G. (2018). Development and validation of the Triarchic Model of Grit Scale (TMGS): Evidence from Filipino undergraduate students. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 114, 198-205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.04.012
- Duckworth, A. L. (2016). Grit The power of passion and perseverance. Scribner.
- Duckworth, A. L., Peterson, C., Matthews, M. D., & Kelly, D. R. (2007). Grit: Perseverance and passion for long-term goals. *Personality Processes and Individual Differences*, 92(6), 1087-1101. https://doi. org/10.1037/0022-3514.92.6.1087
- Duckworth, A. L., & Quinn, P. D. (2009). Development and validation of the Short Grit Scale (GRIT-S). Journal of Personality Assessment, 91(2), 166-174. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223890802634290
- Duckworth, A. L., & Yeager, D. S. (2015). Measurement matters: Assessing personal qualities other than cognitive ability for educational purposes. *Educational Researcher*, 44(4), 237-251. https://doi. org/10.3102/0013189X15584327

- Dumfart, B., & Neubauer, A. C. (2016). Conscientiousness is the most powerful noncognitive predictor of school achievement in adolescents. Journal of Individual Differences, 37(1), 8-15. https://doi. org/10.1027/1614-0001/a000182
- Eskreis-Winkler, L., Shulman, E. P., Beal, S. A., & Duckworth, A. L. (2014). The grit effect: Predicting retention in the military, the workplace, school and marriage. Frontiers in Psychology, 5, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.3389/ psyg.2014.00036
- Eurydice. (2018). Home education policies in Europe: Primary and lower secondary education (Eurydice Report). Publications Office of the European Union. https://doi.org/10.2797/04352
- Ferrando, P. J., & Lorenzo-Seva, U. (2017). Program FACTOR at 10: Origins, development and future directions. *Psicothema*, 29(2), 236-240. https://doi.org/10.1002/erv.2311
- Fite, R. E., Lindeman, M. I. H., Rogers, A. P., Voyles, E., & Durik, A. M. (2017). Knowing oneself and long-term goal pursuit: Relations among selfconcept clarity, conscientiousness, and grit. Personality and Individual Differences, 108, 191-194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.12.008 Flora, D. B., Labrish, C., & Chalmers, R. P. (2012). Old and new ideas for data
- screening and assumption testing for exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis. Frontiers in Psychology, 3, 1-21. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpsyg.2012.00055
- Fong, C. J., & Kim, Y. W. (2019, January). A clash of constructs? Re-examining grit in light of academic buoyancy and future time perspective. Current Psychology. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12144-018-0120-4
- Fosnacht, K., Copridge, K., & Sarraf, S. A. (2019). How valid is grit in the postsecondary context? A construct and concurrent validity analysis. Research in Higher Education, 60(6), 803-822. https://doi.org/10.1007 s11162-018-9
- Fryer, L. K., & Ainley, M. (2019). Supporting interest in a study domain: A longitudinal test of the interplay between interest, utility-value, and competence beliefs. Learning and Instruction, 60, 252-262, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2017.11.002
- García-Crespo, F. J., Fernández-Alonso, R., & Muñiz, J. (2019). Resilient and low performer students: Personal and family determinants in European countries. Psicothema, 31(4), 363-375. https://doi. org/10.7334/psicothema2019.245
- González, O., Canning, J. R., Smyth, H., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2019, July). A psychometric evaluation of the Short Grit Scale. *European Journal of* Psychological Assessment. Advance online publication. https://doi. org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000535
- Government of the Principality of Asturias. (2018). Evaluación de diagnóstico Asturias 2017 [Diagnostic evaluation of Asturias 2017]. Servicio de Ordenación Académica, Formación del Profesorado y Tecnologías Educativas. https://www.educastur.es/-/evaluacion-dediagnostico-educacion-primaria-y-eso-2018-2019 Hagger, M. S., & Hamilton, K. (2018). Grit and self-discipline as predictors
- of effort and academic attainment. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 89(2), 324-342. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12241
- Heckman, J. J., & Kautz, T. (2012). Hard evidence on soft skills. Labour Economics, 19, 451-464. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2012.05.014
- Hernández, A., Ponsoda, V., Muñiz, J., Prieto, G., & Elosua, P. (2016). Revisión del modelo para evaluar la calidad de los tests utilizados en España [Assessing the quality of tests in Spain: Revision of the Spanish test review model]. Psychologist Papers, 37(3), 192-197.
- Hu, L. T., & Bentler, P.M. (1999). Cut off criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 6, 1-55. https://doi. org/10.1080/10705519909540118
- Huang, C. (2011). Self-concept and academic achievement: A meta-analysis of longitudinal relations. *Journal of School Psychology, 49*(5), 505-528. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2011.07.001
- Hwang, M. H., Lim, H. J., & Ha, H. S. (2018). Effects of grit on the academic success of adult female students at Korean Open University. Psychological reports, 121(4), 705-725. https://doi.org/10.1177/0033294117734834
- IBM Corp. (2016). IBM SPSS statistics for Windows, version 24.0 [Computer software]. IBM Corp.
- Ivcevic, Z., & Brackett, M. (2014). Predicting school success: Comparing conscientiousness, grit, and emotion regulation ability. Journal of Research in Personality, 52, 29-36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2014.06.005 Jiang, W., Xiao, Z., Liu, Y., Guo, K., Jiang, J., & Du, X. (2019). Reciprocal
- relations between grit and academic achievement : A longitudinal study. Learning and Individual Differences, 71(19), 13-22. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.lindif.2019.02.004
- Kirchgasler, C. (2018). True grit? Making a scientific object and pedagogical tool. American Educational Research Journal, 55(4), 693-720. https:// doi.org/10.3102/0002831217752244
- Kline, R. B. (2011). Principles and practise of structural equation modeling. Guilford Press.
- Kyllonen, P. C. (2015). Designing tests to measure personal attributes and noncognitive skills. In S. Lane, M. B. Raymond, & T. M. Haladyna (Eds.), Handbook of test development (pp. 190-211). Routledge.
- Light, A., & Nencka, P. (2019). Predicting educational attainment: Does grit compensate for low levels of cognitive ability ? Learning and Individual Differences, 70, 142-154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2019.02.002

- Lorenzo-Seva, U., & Ferrando, P. J. (2013). FACTOR 9.2 a comprehensive program for fitting exploratory and semiconfirmatory factor analysis and IRT models. Applied Psychology Measurement. 37, 497-498. https://doi.org/10.1177/0146621613487794
- Malanchini, M., Engelhardt, L. E., Grotzinger, A. D., Harden, K. P., & Tucker-Drob, E. M. (2018). "Same but different": Associations between multiple aspects of self-regulation, cognition, and academic abilities. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 117(6), 1164-1188. https://doi. org/10.1037/pspp0000224
- Marsh, H. W., Trautwein, U., Lüdtke, O., Köller, O., & Baumert, J. (2005). Academic self-concept, interest, grades, and standardized test scores: Reciprocal effects models of causal ordering. *Child Development*, 76(2), 397-416. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2005.00853.x
- McDonald, R. P. (1999). Test theory: A unified treatment. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Inc.
- Neyers, L. S., Gamst, G., & Guarino, A. J. (2016). Applied multivariate research: Design and interpretation (3<sup>rd</sup> edition). Sage Publications.
- Moreno, R., Martínez, R. J., & Muñiz, J. (2018) Test item taxonomy based on functional criteria. Frontiers in Psychology, 9, 1-9. https://doi. org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01175
- Muenks, K., Wigfield, A., Yang, J. S., & O'Neal, C. R. (2017). How true is grit? Assessing its relations to high school and college students' personality characteristics, self-regulation, engagement, and achievement. Journal of Educational Psychology, 109(5), 599-620. https://doi.org/10.1037/ edu0000153
- Muenks, K., Yang, J. S., & Wigfield, A. (2018). Associations between grit, motivation, and achievement in high school students. Motivation Science, 4(2), 158-176. https://doi.org/10.1037/mot0000076
- Muñiz, J., Fidalgo, A. M., García-Cueto, E., Martínez, R., & Moreno, R. (2005). Análisis de los ítems [Item analysis]. La Muralla.
- Muñiz, J., & Fonseca-Pedrero, E. (2019). Diez pasos para la construcción de un test [Ten steps for test development]. Psicothema, 31(1), 7-16. https://doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2018.291
- Murillo, F. J., & Hernández-Castilla, R. (2011). Factores escolares asociados al desarrollo socio-afectivo en Iberoamérica [School factors associated with socio-emotional development in Latin American countries]. RELIEVE, 17(2), 1-23. https://doi.org/10.7203/relieve.17.2.4007 Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (2017). Mplus user's guide (8th ed). Muthén
- & Muthén
- OECD. (2017). PISA 2015 Results. Volume V: Collaborative problem solving. PISA, OECD Publishing, Paris. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264285521-en
- OECD. (2018). Preparing our youth for an inclusive and sustainable world.
- The OECD global competence framework (OECD working paper) OECD. (2019). PISA 2018 Assessment and analyatical framework. PISA,
- OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/b25efab8-en Oriol, X., Miranda, R., Oyanedel, J. C., & Torres, J. (2017). The role of selfcontrol and grit in domains of school success in students of primary and secondary school. Frontiers in Psychology, 8, 1-9. https://doi. org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01716
- Pardo, A., & San Martin, R. (2010). Análisis de datos en ciencias sociales y de la salud II. Síntesis.
- Park, D., Yu, A., Baelen, R. N., Tsukayama, E., & Duckworth, A. L. (2018). Fostering grit: Perceived school goal-structure predicts growth in grit and grades. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 55, 120-128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2018.09.007
- Peña, P. A., & Duckworth, A. L. (2018). Economics of education review the effects of relative and absolute age in the measurement of grit from 9th to 12th grade. Economics of Education Review, 66, 183-190. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2018.08.009
- Rakoczy, K., Pinger, P., Hochweber, J., Klieme, E., Schütze, B., & Besser, M. (2019). Formative assessment in mathematics: Mediated by feedback's perceived usefulness and students' self-efficacy. Learning and Instruction, 60, 154-165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. learninstruc.2018.01.004
- Rosander, P., & Backstrom, M. (2014). Personality traits measured at baseline can predict academic performance in upper secondary school three years late. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 55(6), 611-618. https://doi.org/10.1111/sjop.12165
- Salmela-Aro, K. (2009). Personal goals and well-being during critical life transitions: The four C's-channelling, choice, co-agency and compensation. Advances in Life Course Research, 14(1-2), 63-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.alcr.2009.03.003
- Samejima, F. (1969). Estimation of latent ability using a response pattern of graded scores (Vol. No. 1). Psychometric Society.
- Schmidt, F. T., Fleckenstein, J., Retelsdorf, J., Eskreis-Winkler, L., & Möller, J. (2017). Measuring grit: A German validation and a domain-specific approach to grit. European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 35(3), 436-447. https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000407
- Schmidt, F. T., Nagy, G., Fleckenstein, J., Möller, J., & Retelsdorf, J. (2018). Same same, but different? Relations between facets of conscientiousness and grit. European Journal of Personality, 32(6), 705-720. https://doi. org/10.1002/per.2171
- Shechtman, N., DeBarger, A. H., Dornsife, C., Rosier, S., & Yarnall, L. (2013). Promoting grit, tenacity, and perseverance: Critical factors for success in the 21st century. US Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology. Washington, DC.

- Steinmayr, R., Weidinger, A. F., & Wigfield, A. (2018). Does students' grit predict their school achievement above and beyond their personality, motivation, and engagement? *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 53, 106-122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2018.02.004
- Sturman, E. D., & Zappala-Piemme, K. (2017). Development of the grit scale for children and adults and its relation to student efficacy, test anxiety, and academic performance. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 59, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2017.08.004
- Suárez-Álvarez, J., Pedrosa, I., Lozano, L., García-Cueto, E., Cuesta, M., & Muñiz, J. (2018). Using reversed items in Likert scales: A questionable practice. *Psicothema*, 30, 149-158. https://doi.org/10.7334/ psicothema2018.33
- Tang, X., Wang, M., Guo, J., & Salmela-Aro, K. (2019). Building grit: The longitudinal pathways between mindset, commitment, grit, and academic outcomes. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 48(5), 850-863. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-019-00998-0
- Timmerman, M. E., & Lorenzo-Seva, U. (2011). Dimensionality assessment of ordered polytomous items with Parallel Analysis. *Psychological Methods*, 16(2), 209-220. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023353
- Tucker-Drob, E. M., Briley, D. A., Engelhardt, L. E., Mann, F. D., & Harden, K. P. (2016). Genetically-mediated associations between measures of

childhood character and academic achievement. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *111*(5), 790-815. https://doi.org/10.1037/ pspp0000098

- Usher, E. L., Li, C. R., Butz, A. R., & Rojas, J. P. (2019). Perseverant grit and selfefficacy: Are both essential for children's academic success? *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *111*(5), 877-902. https://doi.org/10.1037/ edu0000324
- Voyer, D., & Voyer, S. D. (2014). A gender differences in scholastic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140(4), 1174-1204. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0036620
- West, M. R., Kraft, M. A., Finn, A. S., Martin, R. E., Duckworth, A. L., Gabrieli, C. F., & Gabrieli, J. D. (2016). Promise and paradox: Measuring students' non-cognitive skills and the impact of schooling. *Education Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 38(1), 148-170. https://doi. org/10.3102/0162373715597298
- Wolters, C. A., & Hussain, M. (2015). Investigating grit and its relations with college students' self-regulated learning and academic achievement. *Metacognition and Learning*, 10(3), 293-311. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11409-014-9128-9